arXiv:1401.0979v1 [math.AP] 6 Jan 2014

MIXED LEBESGUE SPACE NORM STRICHARTZ TYPE ESTIMATION FOR SOLUTION OF INHOMOGENEOUS PARABOLIC EQUATION,

with constants evaluation.

E.Ostrovsky[a], **L.Sirota**[b]

[a] Corresponding Author. Department of Mathematics and computer science, Bar-Ilan University, 84105, Ramat Gan, Israel.

E - mail: galo@list.ru eugostrovsky@list.ru

[b] Department of Mathematics and computer science. Bar-Ilan University, 84105, Ramat Gan, Israel.
E - mail: sirota3@bezeqint.net

Abstract.

We give an optimal in mixed (anisotropic) Strichartz type Lebesgue space-time norm estimates for the solution of linear parabolic inhomogeneous initial problem, with are exact or exact up to multiplicative constant coefficient evaluation.

Keywords and phrases: Multivariate Parabolic PDE equations, scaling method, dilation operator, density of Gaussian distribution, transstable density, Lebesgue-Riesz spaces, initial value problem, mixed (anisotropic) norms and spaces, Strichartz estimates, permutation inequality, Riesz potential, Rieman's fractional integral, factorable function, Cesaro-Hardy operator estimates, Young inequality, Marcinkiewicz integral triangle inequality, Beckner's constant, Grand Lebesgue Spaces.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 37B30, 33K55, 35Q30, 35K45; Secondary 34A34, 65M20, 42B25.

1 Notations. Statement of problem.

Statement of problem.

We consider in this article the classical initial value problem for the function $u = u(x,t),\ t \in T = (0,I),\ 0 < I \le \infty$, of a multivariate linear Parabolic PDE equations in whole Euclidean space $x \in X = R^d$ with density of external force $F(x,t)$ and initial condition $f(x)$ of a form

$$\partial_t u = 0.5\ \Delta u + F(t,x),\ u(x,0+) = f(x). \tag{1.1}$$

We will update after the statement of this problem: for given $p \in (1,\infty)$

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} |u(\cdot,t) - f(\cdot)| L_p(R^d) = 0.$$

Let us introduce the following notations.

$$x = \vec{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d\} \in R^d \ \Rightarrow |x| = \sqrt{(x,x)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i^2},$$

$$w_t(x) = (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \ \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2t}\right), \ t > 0.$$

This function is the fundamental solution of classical heat equation ("heat potential").

Further, we denote as usually the convolutions

$$F * G(x) := \int_X F(x-y) \ G(y) \ dy, \ x, y \in R^d;$$

("space" convolution); and at the same notation will be used for a "time" convolution:

$$f * g(t) := \int_0^t f(t-s) \ g(s) \ ds, \ s, t \geq 0.$$

Authors hope that this definitions not lead to the confusion.

The unique "regular" solution of the problem (1.1) may be written under known natural conditions imposed on the data $f, \ F$ (measurability, boundedness, belonging to and or other Banach space etc.) in explicit view as follows; $u(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t)$, where

$$u_0(x,t) = w_t * [f](x) = \int_X w_t(x-y) \ f(y) \ dy, \tag{1.2}$$

$$u_1(x,t) = [w_t * *F](x,t) = \int_0^t ds \int_X w_{t-s}(x-y) \ F(y,s) \ dy. \tag{1.3}$$

Further, denote as ordinary

$$|f|_r = |f|_{r,X} = \left[\int_X |f(x)|^r \ dx\right]^{1/r}, \ r = \mathrm{const} \geq 1;$$

$$|g|_q = |g|_{q,T} = \left[\int_T |g(t)|^q \ dt\right]^{1/q}, \ q = \mathrm{const} \geq 1;$$

The so - called *mixed* $L_{p,q} = L_{p,X;q,T}$ norm of a function of "two" variables $F = F(x,t)$ is defined by equality:

$$|F|_{p,X;q,T} := \left\{\int_0^\infty \left[\int_X |F(x,t)|^p \ dx\right]^{q/p} \ dt\right\}^{1/q}, \ 1 \leq p, q < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

and analogously

$$|F|_{q,T;p,X} := \left\{ \int_X \left[\int_0^T |F(x,t)|^q \, dt \right]^{p/q} \, dt \right\}^{1/p}, \ 1 \le p,q < \infty, \tag{1.4a}$$

Not to be confused with the standard Lorentz norm!

The space consisting on all the (common measurable) function $F(x,t)$ with finite mixed norm $|F(\cdot,\cdot)|_{p,q}$ is said to be *anisotropic,* or equally *Bochner* space and denoted similar $L_{p,q} = L_{p,X;q,T}$.

These spaces appear in an article of Benedek A. and Panzone R. [49] and was completely investigated in the classical monograph of Besov O.V., Ilin V.P., Nikolskii S.M. [50].

It is known, see [1], [50], p. 7, 25, that if $p_1 \le p_2$

$$|F|_{p_1,p_2} \le |F|_{p_2,p_1},$$

"permutation inequality".

Note that in general case $|F|_{p,q} \ne |F|_{q,p}$, but $|F|p,p = |F|p$.

Observe also that if $F(x,t) = G_1(x) \cdot G_2(t)$, (condition of factorization), then $|F|_{p,q} = |G_1|p \cdot |G_2|q$, (formula of factorization).

These spaces arise in the Theory of Approximation, Functional Analysis, theory of Partial Differential Equations, theory of Random Processes etc. Consider for instance the linear integral operator U acting on the functions defined on the measurable space (Y,B,ν) onto another measurable space (X,A,μ) with common measurable kernel $K(x,y)$:

$$U[f](x) = \int_Y K(x,y) \ f(y) \ \mu(dy).$$

then we conclude by means of Hölder's inequality

$$|U[f]|_{p,X} \le |K|_{p,q'} \cdot |f|_{q,Y}; \ q' \stackrel{def}{=} q/(q-1), \ 1 < q \le \infty,$$

or equally

$$|U|_{q \to p} \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{f \ne 0, \ f \in L_q(Y)} \left[\frac{|U[f]|_{p,X}}{|f|_{q,Y}} \right] \le |K|_{p,q'}.$$

Our purpose is to estimate both the functions u_0 and u_1 in the mixed norms $|u_0|_{r,T;q,X}$, $|u_1|_{p,X;q,T}$ via correspondingly also mixed norms of the data $|f|_{r,X}$ and $|F|_{r,X;k,T}$.

We simplify known proofs and estimates, write down the asymptotically optimal estimates of the constants and prove its exactness.

Previous works: [48], [60] - [62], [63], [64] - [65], [45] etc. The applications of these estimates in the theory of non - linear evolutionary PDE, for example, equations of Navier - Stokes see in [3] - [46].

The paper is organized as follows. It the second section we obtain the mixed norm estimates for the first component of solution $u_0 = u_0(x,t)$, in the third we obtain ones for the second component $u_1 = u_1(x,t)$.

In the fourth section we will prove the non-refinements of our conditions, where we prove simultaneously the exactness of conditions in the Young inequality convolution. The next section is devoted to common, or equally united estimates. We consider in the sixth section some generalizations of obtained estimates into the so-called Grand Lebesgue Spaces.

The 7^{th} section contains the weight estimates for the classical inhomogeneous parabolic initial value problem. The penultimate section described the mixed norm estimates for solution of non-local evolution initial value problem with fractional Laplace's operator.

By tradition, the last section contains some concluding remarks.

2 Influence of initial condition.

We intend to obtain the estimation of a form

$$|u_0|_{r_0,T;q_0,X} = |w_t * f|_{r_0,T;q_0,X} \le K_0(d;p_0,q_0,r_0)\ |f|_{p_0}. \tag{2.1}$$

Notations and restrictions:

$$p_0,q_0,r_0 \in (1,\infty), \quad d\ r_0 > 2;\ z = |x-y| > 0;\ q_0 \in (r_0 d/(r_0 d-2),\infty);$$

$$B(z) = B_r(z) := |w_t(z)|_{r,T} = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\ \sqrt[r]{\int_{R_+} t^{-dr/2}\ e^{-r\ z^2/2t}\ dt} =$$

$$\pi^{d/2}\ z^{2/r-d}\ r^{1/r-d/2}\ \Gamma^{1/r}(dr/2-1) := D(d,r)\ |x-y|^{2/r-d},$$

where

$$D(d,r) = \pi^{d/2}\ r^{1/r-d/2}\ \Gamma^{1/r}(dr/2-1).$$

Note that as $r \to d/2+0$

$$D(d,r) \sim (2\pi)^{d/2}\ (rd-2)^{-d/2}.$$

Further, put

$$V(p,r) := \frac{(d-2/r)^{-1}}{[(p-1)(dr/2-p)]^{\kappa}};$$

$$\kappa := 1 - \frac{2}{dr} \in (0,1);\ 1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \kappa \ \Leftrightarrow\ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{rd}; \tag{2.2}$$

Let us introduce the following *family* of domains $G_0(p) = G_0(d;p),\ p > 1$ on the plane (q,r) :

$$G_0(p) = G_0(d;p) = \{(q,r) : q > 1,\ r > 2/d,\ 1/q + 2/dr = 1/p\}.$$

Theorem 2.0a. *Let $f \in L_{p_0}(R^d)$ for some $p_0 > 1$. There holds under described restrictions:*

$$(q_0, r_0) \in G_0(d; p_0)$$

the following estimate:

$$|u_0|_{r_0,T;q_0,X} \le C_0(d;p_0)\ D(d,r_0)\ V(p_0,r_0)\ |f|_{p_0}. \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2.1. We can adopt as the capacity of the value $C_0(d;p_0)$ its minimal value, indeed:

$$C_0(d;p) := \sup_{(q,r)\in G_0(p)} \sup_{f:|f|_p=1} \left[\frac{|u_0|_{r,T;q,X}}{D(d,r)\ V(p,r)\ |f|_p} \right] < \infty,\ 1 < p < \infty.$$

Proof. We can and will suppose without loss of generality that $f(x) \ge 0$. We deduce using Marcinkiewicz integral triangle inequality writing locally (p,q,r) instead (p_0,q_0,r_0) :

$$|u_0|_{r,T} \le \int_X |w_t(x-y)|_{r,T}\ f(y)\ dy = D(d,r) \int_X \frac{f(y)\ dy}{|x-y|^{d-2/r}}.$$

Notice that the integral in the right - hand side is the well - known fractional Riesz potential:

$$\int_X \frac{f(y)\ dy}{|x-y|^{d-2/r}} = \int_{R^d} \frac{f(y)\ dy}{|x-y|^{d-2/r}} = I_{2/r}[f](x) = I^{(d)}_{2/r}[f](x).$$

The using for us exact up to multiplicative constants Lebesgue - Riesz estimates for this operator are obtained in the article [70]:

$$|I^{(d)}_{2/r}[f](\cdot)|_{q.X} \le C_0(p,r)\ V(p,r)|f|_p,\ p,q,r \in (1,\infty),\ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{dr}. \tag{2.4}$$

This completes the proof of theorem 2.0.

Remark 2.2. The condition (2.2) coincides with ones in proposition 1.2 in the articles [30], [31], [45], where is consider also the case of *fractional* Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ instead the classical operator $(-\Delta)$, but without constants estimation.

The method used in [45] is different on our way; for instance, we do not use the theory of interpolation of operators.

Theorem 2.0b. *Let $f \in L_{p_0}(R^d)$ for some $p_0 > 1$. There holds the following estimate:*

$$|u_0|_{q_0,X} \le K_W(m)\ K_B(m,p_0)\ |f|_{p_0,X}\ t^{-d/2(1/p_0 - 1/q_0)}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$q_0 \ge p_0, \qquad 1 + 1/q_0 = 1/m + 1/p_0, \ q, p_0, m > 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, the relation (2.6) is necessary for the inequality of a form (2.5).

Besides, the asymptotical equality in (2.5) as $t \to \infty$ is attained iff f_0 is density of Gaussian centered non - trivial distribution:

$$f_0(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \ \sigma^{-d} \ \exp\left(-|x|^2/(2\sigma^2)\right), \sigma = \mathrm{const} > 0.$$

Proof. We have: $u_0(x,t) = w_t * [f](x)$. We apply the Young's - Beckner's inequality:

$$|u_0|_{q_0,X} \le K_B(d; m, p_0) \ |w_t|_{m,X} \ |f|_{p_0,X} = K_B(d; m, p_0) \ K_w(m) \ |f|_{p_0} \ t^{-d/2(1/p_0 - 1/q_0)}.$$

The necessity of equality (2.6) may be easily proved by means of scaling method. The last proposition about asymptotical equality as $t \to \infty$ is in fact proved by W.Beckner in [2].

Note that the inequality (2.5) is well-known, see for example, [21]; we write only the constants estimates.

3 Influence of right - hand side.

Some new notations and restrictions (conditions). Let four numbers $(p, q, r, k) \in (1, \infty)$ be a given. Denote

$$Q = Q(p,r): \ \frac{1}{p} + 1 = \frac{1}{Q} + \frac{1}{r}; \quad \theta = \theta(p,r) = \frac{d}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{Q}\right),$$

$$k_- = 1, \ k_+ = \frac{d}{d-\theta}, \quad q_- = \frac{q}{\lambda} = \frac{2}{1/r - 1/p}, \ q_+ = \infty,$$

$$\beta = \frac{\theta}{d} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right). \tag{3.0}$$

Further, we denote the L_Q norms of heat potential:

$$K_w = K_w(d,Q) := |w_1|_Q = |w_1|_{Q,X} = (2\pi)^{d(1-Q)/(2Q)} \ Q^{-d/2Q}; \ Q \ge 1.$$

As a consequence:

$$|w_t|_Q = (2\pi)^{d(1-Q)/(2Q)} \ t^{0.5d(1/Q-1)} \ Q^{-d/2Q} = K_w(d,Q) \ t^{0.5d(1/Q-1)}, \quad t > 0.$$

We introduce also the following *family* of domains $G_1(k,r) = G_1(d; k, r), \ k, r > 1$ on the plane (p, q) :

$$G_1(k,r) = G_1(d;k,r) = \{(p,q): \ p > r, \ q > 1, \ 1/q + d/2p = 1/k + d/(2r) - 1\}. \ (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.1a. *Let again the four numbers $(p_1, q_1, r_1, k_1) \in (1, \infty)$ be a given. Suppose $F(\cdot,\cdot) \in L(r_1, X; k_1, T)$ for some $k_1, r_1 > 1$. If $(q_1, r_1) \in G_1(d; k_1, r_1)$, and $k_1 \in (1, \ k_+)$, then*

$$|u_1(\cdot,\cdot)|_{p_1,X;q_1,T} \le K_1(p_1, q_1, r_1, k_1) \ |F(\cdot,\cdot)|_{r_1,X;k_1,T}, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$K_1(p_1, q_1, r_1, k_1) = \frac{C_1(d; r_1, k_1)}{[k_1 - k_-]^{\beta}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Conversely, the equality $(q_1, r_1) \in G_1(d; k_1, r_1)$ is necessary for the estimation of the form (3.2).

Moreover, the estimation (3.2) is exact up to multiplicative constant.

Remark 3.1. We can adopt as before as the capacity of the value $C_1(d; r, k)$ its minimal value, namely:

$$C_1(d; r, k) := \sup_{(p,q) \in G_1(k,r)} \left[\frac{[k - k_-]^{\beta} \ |u_1(\cdot,\cdot)|_{p,X;q,T}}{|F(\cdot,\cdot)|_{r,X;k,T}} \right] < \infty, \ r, k > 1.$$

Remark 3.2. Note that the norms in the proposition (3.2) follows in reverse order as in the assertion of theorem 2.0.

Proof. We get using again Marcinkiewicz (triangle) inequality writing again (p, q, r, k) instead (p_1, q_1, r_1, k_1) :

$$|u_1(\cdot,\cdot)|_{p,X} = \left| \int_0^t ds \int_X w_{t-s}(x-y) \ F(y,s) \ dy \right|_{p,X} \le$$

$$\int_0^t ds \int_X |w_{t-s}(x-y) \ F(y,s)|_{p,X} \ dy = \int_0^t |w_{t-s}(\cdot) * F(\cdot,s)|_{p,X} \ ds.$$

We apply the Young's inequality for the space convolution:

$$|u_1(\cdot,\cdot)|_{p,X} \le K_B(d; Q, r) \int_0^t |w_{t-s}(\cdot)|_{Q,X} \ \cdot |F(\cdot,s)|_{r,X} \ ds =$$

$$K_B(d; Q, r) \ K_w(d, Q) \int_0^t (t-s)^{0.5d(1/Q-1)} \ |F(\cdot,s)|_{p,X} ds, \tag{3.4}$$

where $K_B(d; p, q) < 1$ is the famous Beckner's constant:

$$A(p) := \left[\frac{p^{1/p}}{p'^{1/p'}} \right]^{1/2}, \ p > 1, \ p' := p/(p-1);$$

$$K_B = K_B(p, q) = K_B(d; p, q) := (A(p) \ A(q) \ A(r))^d, \ 1 + 1/r = 1/p + 1/q.$$

W.Beckner in [2] proved that

$$|f * g|_r \le K_B(d;p,q)\ |f|_p\ |g|_q,$$

where again

$$1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}. \tag{3.5}$$

See also [51].

The integral in the right - hand (3.4) is so-called Rieman's fractional integral or equally Cesaro-Hardy average operator. The Lebesgue - Riesz $L_q(T)$ norm estimates for this operator with exact up to multiplicative constants evaluating are obtained in [71], see also [70]. Indeed, if

$$U_\theta[h](\cdot) = \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\theta}\ h(s)\ ds,\ \theta = \mathrm{const} \in (0,1),$$

then

$$|U_\theta[h](t)|_{q,T} \le K \cdot |h|_{k,T},$$

where

$$1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{k} + \theta.$$

Here we must substitute

$$\theta = \frac{d}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{Q}\right) \in (0,1).$$

It remains to use this estimations.
This completes the proof of theorem 3.1a.

Remark 3.3. The condition (3.1) coincides with ones in equality (1.8) in the article [45], where is consider also the case of *fractional* Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ instead the classical operator $(-\Delta)$, but *without constants estimation.*
The method used in [45] is different on our way.

We investigate now the inverse order of the powers (p,q).

Theorem 3.1b. *Let the constants* (h,k,q,r) *be such that*

$$1 < h,k,q,r < \infty, \qquad \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{h} - \frac{2}{dr}, \qquad 1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{k}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then

$$|u_1|_{q,T;m,X} \le D(d,r)\ K_B(1;r,k)\ C_0(h,r)\ V(h,r)\ |F|_{k,T;h,X}, \tag{3.7}$$

Conversely, the equalities (3.6) is also necessary for the estimation of the form (3.7).

Moreover, the estimation (3.7) is exact up to multiplicative constant.

Proof is alike to ones in theorem 2.0a. We use again the Marcinkiewicz's and Young's-Beckner's inequalities:

$$|u_1|_{q,T} \le \int_X dy \int_0^t |w_{t-s}(x-y)\ F(y,s)|_{q,T}\ ds \le$$

$$K_B(1;r,k) \int_X |w_\cdot(x-y)|_{r,T}\ |F(y,\cdot)|_{k,T}\ dy =$$

$$K_B(1;r,k)\ D(d,r) \int_X \frac{|F(y,\cdot)|_{k,T}\ dy}{|x-y|^{d-2/r}} = K_B(1;r,k)\ D(d,r)\ I_{2/r}[F(y,\cdot)|_{k,T}].$$

It remains to use the estimate (2.4) for Riesz's fractional potential.

The last propositions of considered theorem will be proved further, in the section 8.

4 Necessity of our conditions.

We intend to prove in this section that our estimates are essentially non - improvable.

We will use the so - called *dilation,* or equally *scaling method,* see [40], chapter 9, [58]. Recall the definition: the operator $T_\lambda[f],\ \lambda \in (0,\infty)$, (more exactly, the family of operators) of a form

$$T_\lambda[f](x) = f(\lambda\ x),\ x \in X = R^d \tag{4.0}$$

is said to be the *dilation operator.* Here $f(\cdot)$ belongs to a certain class of functions, for instance, $L_p(X)$ space or Schwartz set $S(R^d)$ etc. Obviously, if $f \in S(R^d)$, then $T_\lambda[f] \in S(R^d)$.

1. Young's inequality.

We begin our considerations of this section from the famous Young's inequality for convolution. Namely,

$$|f * g|_r \le K_B(p,q)\ |f|_p\ |g|_q,\ p,q,r \in (1,\infty), \tag{4.1}$$

where $K_B(p,q) = K_B(d;p,q) < 1$ is also the Beckner's constant.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that there exists a finite constant $K = K_B(d;p,q)$ for which the inequality (4.1) is satisfied for arbitrary pair of functions (f,g) from the Schwartz space $S(R^d)$. Then the triple (p,q,r) satisfies the equality (3.5).*

Proof. Assume the inequality (4.1) is satisfied for any pair of functions from the Schwartz space; then for arbitrary number $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$ and some $f,g \in S(R^d),\ f,g \ne 0$

$$|T_\lambda f * T_\lambda g|_r \le K_B(d;p,q)\ |T_\lambda f|_p\ |T_\lambda g|_q,\ p,q,r \in (1,\infty), \tag{4.3}$$

Note that

$$|T_\lambda g|_q = \lambda^{-d/q} |g|_q, \quad |T_\lambda f|_p = \lambda^{-d/p} |f|_p,$$

$$|T_\lambda f * T_\lambda g|_r = \lambda^{-d-d/r} \ |f * g|_r.$$

We deduce substituting into (4.3)

$$\lambda^{-d-d/r} \le C \cdot \lambda^{-d/p-d/q}, \ C \in (0, \infty), \tag{4.4}$$

where C does not dependent on the variable λ.

Since the number λ is arbitrary positive, we conclude from (4.4) $1 + 1/r = 1/p + 1/q$, Q.E.D.

The multivariate version of Young's inequality has a form, see [50], chapter 2, formula (2.14):

$$|f * g|_{\vec{r}} = \left| \int_{R^d} f(x-y) \ g(y) \right|_{\vec{r}} \le |f|_{\vec{p}} \ |g|_{\vec{q}},$$

where

$$\forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, d \ \Rightarrow 1 + \frac{1}{r_j} = \frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{1}{q_j}$$

and wherein the last relation is necessary for the multivariate version of Young's inequality.

This proposition may be proved by means of consideration of the so-called factorable functions

$$f(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} f_j(x_j), \quad g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_j(x_j).$$

2. Initial condition.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that there exists a finite constant $K^{(0)} = K_B^{(0)}(d; p, q)$ for which the inequality (2.3) is satisfied for arbitrary functions f from the Schwartz space $S(R^d)$:*

$$|u_0|_{r,T;q,X} \le K_B^{(0)}(d; p, q) \ |f|_p, \ p, q, r = \mathrm{const} \in R. \tag{4.5}$$

Then the triple (p, q, r) satisfies the relation

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{dr}. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof is completely analogous to ones in the theorem 4.1. Suppose that the inequality (4.5) is valid for some functions $f \ \neq 0$ from the Schwartz space; then for arbitrary number $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$

$$|w_t * T_\lambda f|_{r,T;q,X} \le K_B^{(0)}(d;p,q)\ |T_\lambda f|_p. \tag{4.7}$$

Note that

$$w_t(x/\lambda) = \lambda^d w_{t\lambda^2}(x),\ t > 0,\ x \in R^d, \tag{4.8}$$

and we find as before after simple computations using identity (4.8)

$$|w_t * T_\lambda f|_{r,T;q,X} = |w_t * f|_{r,T;q,X} \cdot \lambda^{-d/q-2/r}. \tag{4.9}$$

We deduce substituting into (4.7)

$$\lambda^{-d/q-2/r} \le C_0 \cdot \lambda^{-d/p},\ C_0 \in (0,\infty), \tag{4.10}$$

where C_0 does not dependent on the variable λ. We conclude equating the exponents by λ :

$$-d/q - 2/r = -d/p,\ \Leftrightarrow 1/q = 1/p - 2/(dr).$$

3. Right - hand side. Analogously may be proved the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that there exists finite constant $K^{(1)} = K_B^{(1)}(d;p,q,r,k)$ for which the inequality (3.1) is satisfied for arbitrary functions $F = F(x,t)$ from the Schwartz space $S(R^{d+1})$:*

$$|u_1(\cdot,\cdot)|_{p,X;q,T} \le K_B^{(1)}(d;p,q,r,k)\ |F(\cdot,\cdot)|_{r,X;k,T}. \tag{4.11}$$

Then the tuple of the four numbers (p,q,r,k) satisfies the equality

$$1 + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{k} = \frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right). \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. We can use for convenient as a capacity of the test function F the factorable expression:

$$F_0(x,t) = g(x)\ h(t) \stackrel{def}{=} [g \otimes h](x,t). \tag{4.13}$$

We introduce for these functions a following (linear) operator, more exactly, the family of operators (generalized dilation):

$$S_\lambda[g \otimes h](x,t) := g(\lambda x) \cdot h(\lambda^2 t) = T_\lambda[g](x) \cdot T_{\lambda^2}[h](t).$$

If the inequality (4.11) is true for arbitrary non - zero functions g, h from the correspondent Schwartz space, then

$$|W_t * * S_\lambda F_0|_{p,X;q,T} \le K_B^{(1)}(d;p,q,r,k)\ |T_\lambda g|_{r,X} \cdot |T_{\lambda^2}[h]_{k,T}. \tag{4.14}$$

We have consequently:

$$|T_\lambda g|_{r,X} \cdot |T_{\lambda^2}[h]_{k,T} = |g|_{r,X}\ |h|_{k,T}\ \lambda^{-d/r-2/k},$$

$$|W_t * *S_\lambda F_0|_{p,X;q,T} = |W_t * *F_0|_{p,X;q,T} \ \lambda^{-2-d/p-2/q}.$$

We conclude as before after substituting into (4.14):

$$-2 - d/p - 2/q = -d/r - 2/k.$$

This completes the proof of theorem 4.3.

5 United estimations.

Recall that the solution $u = u(x,t)$ of the source equation (1.1) with correspondent initial condition may be represented as a sum $u(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t)$. We deduce as a slight consequence synthesizing the assertions of theorems 2.0a and 3.1b:

Proposition 5.1. *Let the tuple* (q_1, m_1, k_1, h_1) *satisfies simultaneously the conditions (2.2) and (3.6).*

Let also $f \in L_{p_0}(R^d),\ F \in L_{k_1,T;h_1,X}((0,T) \otimes R^d)$. *Then*

$$|u|_{q_1,T;m_1.X} \le C_0(d,p_0)\ D(d,q_1)\ V(p_0,q_1)\ |f|_{p_0} +$$

$$C_0(h_1,r_1)\ D(d,r_1)\ V(h_1,r_1)\ K_B(1;r_1,k_1)\ |F|_{k_1,T;h_1,X}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let us consider more general case. Recall previously that the direct sum

$$M_{r_0,q_0,q_1,m_1} = M_{r_0,q_0,q_1,m_1}((0,T) \otimes R^d) =$$

$$L_{r_0,q_0}((0,T) \otimes R^d) \oplus L_{q_1,m_1}((0,T) \otimes R^d)$$

of two Banach spaces $L_{r_0,q_0}((0,T) \otimes R^d)$ and $L_{q_1,m_1}((0,T) \otimes R^d)$ is defined as a set of all the functions $v = v(x,t),\ x \in R^d,\ t \in (0,T)$ of a form

$$v(x,t) = v_0(x,t) + v_1(x.t), \tag{5.2}$$

where $v_0 \in L_{r_0,q_0}((0,T) \otimes R^d),\ v_1 \in L_{q_1,m_1}((0,T) \otimes R^d)$, equipped with the norm

$$|v|M_{r_0,q_0,q_1,m_1} = \inf \left[|v_0|L_{r_0,q_0}((0,T) \otimes R^d) + |v_1|L_{q_1,m_1}((0,T) \otimes R^d) \right], \tag{5.3}$$

where " inf " in (5.3) is calculated over all the functions v_0, v_1 satisfying the representation (5.2).

The space $M_{r_0,q_0,q_1,m_1}((0,T) \otimes R^d)$ relative the norm (5.3) is also the complete Banach space.

It follows immediately from theorems 2.0a and 3.1b the following assertion:

Proposition 5.2. *Let the tuple* r_0, q_0 *satisfies the conditions (2.2) and let the tuple* (q_1, m_1, k_1, h_1) *satisfies the conditions (3.6). Then*

$$|u|M_{r_0,q_0,q_1,m_1} \le C_0(d;p_0)\ D(d,r_0)\ V(p_0,r_0)\ |f|_{p_0} +$$

$$D(d,r_1)\ K_B(1;r_1,k_1)\ C_0(h_1,r_1)\ V(h_1,r_1)\ |F|_{k_1,T;h_1,X}. \tag{5.4}$$

6 Generalized Grand Lebesgue Spaces estimations.

We assume in this section that the initial condition $f = f(x)$ belongs to some Grand Lebesgue Space $G(\psi) = G(\psi, X)$. This imply by definition that the following norm is finite:

$$||f||G(\psi) := \sup_{p \in (a,b)} \left[\frac{|f|_p}{\psi(p)} \right] < \infty,\ 1 \le a < b \le \infty. \tag{6.0}$$

Here $\psi = \psi(p)$ is some positive continuous in *open* interval (a,b) such that $\inf_{p \in (a,b)} \psi(p) > 0$.

The detail investigation of these spaces see in [59], [52], [53], [54], [55], [56], [67], [68] etc.

Denote by $M_0(p) = M_0(p;q,r),\ p \in (a,b),\ (q,r) \in G_0(p)$ the minimal value of the coefficient in the inequality (2.3):

$$M_0(p;q,r) := \sup_{0 \ne f \in L_p(X)} \left[\frac{|u_0|_{r,T;q,X}}{C_0(d;p)\ D(d,r)\ V(p,r)\ |f|_p} \right], \tag{6.1}$$

we know that $M_0(p;q,r) \le 1$. Denote

$$H_0 = \{(q,r):\ \exists p \in (a.b)\ \Rightarrow (q,r) \in G_0(p);\}$$

$$\nu_0 = \nu_0(d;r,q) = \inf_{p \in (a,b)} \left[M_0(p;q,r)\ C_0(d;p)\ D(d,r)\ V(p,r)\ \psi(p) \right],\ (q,r) \in H_0,$$

and define the following "two - dimensional" generalization of the Grand Lebesgue Norm:

$$||u_0||G\nu_0 \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{(q,r) \in H_0} \left[\frac{|u_0|_{r,T;q,X}}{\nu(q,r)} \right]. \tag{6.2}$$

Theorem 6.1.

$$||u_0||G\nu_0 \le 1 \cdot ||f||G(\psi), \tag{6.3}$$

wherein the constant "1" in (6.3) is the best possible.

Proof. The *upper* estimate. Let $f \in G(\psi)$; we can and will conclude without loss of generality $||f||G(\psi) = 1$, therefore

$$|f|_p \le \psi(p), \ p \in (a,b).$$

It follows from theorem 2.0

$$|u_0|_{r,T;q,X} \le M_0(p;q,r) \ \psi(p),$$

which is equivalent to (6.3) after minimisation over p.

The exactness of the constant "1" is in fact proved in the article [75], where is considered the one - dimensional case; the multivariate version is completely analogous.

Denote by $M_1 = M_1(p,q,r,k), \ (q,r) \in G_1(p,q)$ the minimal value of the coefficient in the inequality (3.2):

$$M_1(p,q,r,k) := \sup_{0 \ne F \in L_{r,X;k,T}} \left[\frac{|u_1|_{r,T;q,X}}{K_1(p,q,r,k) \ |F|_{r,k}} \right], \tag{6.4}$$

we know that $M_1(p,q,r,k) \le 1$.

Let $Y = \{r,k\}, \ r,k > 1$ be some open non-empty domain in the positive quarter plane R^2 and let $\zeta = \zeta(r,k)$ be continuous in the set Y positive function such that

$$\inf_{(r,k) \in Y} \zeta(r,k) > 0.$$

Define as before the two-dimensional Grand Lebesgue Norm

$$||F(\cdot,\cdot)||G\zeta = \sup_{(r,k) \in Y} \left[\frac{|F|_{r,X;k,T}}{\zeta(r,k)} \right]. \tag{6.5}$$

Denote

$$H_1 = \{(p,q): \ \exists (r,k) : \Rightarrow (p,q,r,k) \in G_1(p,q)\};$$

$$\tau_1 = \tau_1(d;p,q) = \inf_{(r,k) \in G_1(p,q)} \left[M_1(p,q,r,k) \ \zeta(r,k) \right], \ (q,r) \in H_1.$$

Theorem 6.2.

$$||u_1||G\tau_1 \le 1 \cdot ||F||G(\zeta), \tag{6.7}$$

wherein the constant "1" in (6.6) is the best possible.

Proof is at the same as in theorem 6.1 through theorem 3.1 and may be omitted.

7 Weight estimates.

Denote

$$f(y) = g(y)\ |y|^{-a},\ v_0 = v_0(x,t) = u_0(x,t)\ |x|^{-b}\ t^{-\theta},\ a,\ b = \mathrm{const} \ge 0. \tag{7.0}$$

We try to obtain in this subsection first of all the *weight* estimations of a form

$$|v_0|_{r,T;q,X} \le K_{a,b,\theta}(p,q,r)\ |g|_{p,X}, \tag{7.1}$$

i.e. the weight generalization of theorem 2.0.

New notations and restrictions:

$$p_- = \frac{d}{d-a} \ge 1, \quad p_+ = \frac{d}{2/r - a - 2\theta} > 0,$$

$$\kappa := \frac{a+b+d-2\theta-2/r}{d} \in (0,1), \tag{7.2}$$

$$p,q,r > 1, \quad p \in (p_-,\ p_+),$$

$$a + 2\theta < \frac{2}{r} < d + 2\theta,$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \kappa. \tag{7.3}$$

It is easy to see that the new designations agreed with the old in the case when $a = b = 0$.

Theorem 7.0. *We conclude under our restrictions (7.2) - (7.3) that the "constant" $K_{a,b,\theta}(p,q,r)$ in is finite:*

$$K_{a,b,\theta}(p,q,r) \le \frac{C_2(d;a,b,\theta)\ D(d+2\theta,r)}{[p-p_-]^{\kappa}}. \tag{7.4}$$

Moreover, if $K_{a,b,\theta}(p,q,r)$ is finite, then the triple (p,q,r) satisfies the equality (7.3) and $p \in (p_-,\ p_+)$.

Proof is the same as one in theorem 2.0. We start from the inequality (2.4):

$$|v_0|_{r,T} \le |x|^{-b} \int_X |t^{-\theta} w_t(x-y)|_{r,T}\ |g(y)|\ |y|^{-a}\ dy =$$

$$|x|^{-b}\ D(d+2\theta,r) \int_X \frac{|g(y)|\ |y|^{-a}\ dy}{|x-y|^{d+2\theta-2/r}} = D(d+2\theta,r)\ I_{a,b,2/r-2\theta}[g](x). \tag{7.5}$$

It remains to use again the main results of the articles [70], [71].

The *necessity* of the conditions (7.2) - (7.3) may be proved as before by means of the scaling method. By our opinion, the scaling method we used is somewhat simpler than in [77].

Note that the weight generalization of Young's convolution inequality was considered at first by R.A.Kerman in [77]; see also the articles [57], [79], [81] etc.

8 Fractional Laplace operator.

Let us consider the linear heat type initial value problem with fractional power of Laplace operator:

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u = F(x,t),\ u(x,0+) = f(x),\ \alpha = \mathrm{const} > 0,\ \alpha \neq 1,2,3\ldots. \tag{8.0}$$

We intend to generalize *weight* obtained estimations and some estimates of the works [30], [31], [45] on the function $u^{(\alpha)}(\cdot,\cdot) = u(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Denote

$$Z(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{R^d} e^{i(x,\xi) - |\xi|^{2\alpha}} d\xi,\ x \in R^d; \tag{8.1}$$

$$Z(x) = Z^{(0)}(|x|), \quad Z_t(x) = t^{-d/(2\alpha)} \cdot Z\left(\frac{x}{t^{1/(2\alpha)}} \right),\ t > 0. \tag{8.2}$$

The ordinary solution of equation (8.0) has a view as before

$$u^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = \int_X Z_t(x-y)\ f(y)\ dy + \int_0^t \int_X Z_{t-s}(x-y)\ F(y,s)\ ds =$$

$$u_0^{(\alpha)}(x,t) + u_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t), \quad \textbf{where} \quad u_0^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = \int_X Z_t(x-y)\ f(y)\ dy, \tag{8.3a}$$

$$u_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_X Z_{t-s}(x-y)\ F(y,s)\ ds. \tag{8.3b}$$

Recall that the function

$$q_d(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_X e^{i(x,\xi) - |\xi|^{2\alpha}} d\xi$$

is named *transstable density*, and appears in the Probability Theory, see, e.g. [80], [76]. Evidently, $Z(x) = (2\pi)^{d/2} q_d(x, 2\alpha)$.

The asymptotic expression as $|x| \to \infty$ for the transstable density is calculated in the book of Uchaikin V.V. and Zolotarev V.M. [80], p. 212 - 215; see also [76]: as $|x| \to \infty$

$$|Z(x)| \sim C_{U,Z}(d,\alpha)\ (1+|x|)^{-d-2\alpha},\ x \in R^d. \tag{8.4a}$$

The non-asymptotic estimation for $Z(x)$ is calculated by Changxing Miao, Baoquan Yuan, and Bo Zhangin in [30]:

$$|Z(x)| \le C_{M,Y,Z}(d,\alpha)\ (1+|x|)^{-d-2\alpha},\ x \in R^d. \tag{8.4b}$$

Obviously, the function $Z = Z(x)$ is radial, symbolically: $Z = Z(|x|)$.

It follows from (8.4a) that the last estimation (8.4b) is asymptotically exact as $|x| \to \infty$ up to multiplicative constant.

We find by direct calculation from (8.4b) $\quad |Z_t|_{p,X} \le$

$$C_{M,Y,Z}^{1/p}(d,\alpha)\ \omega^{1/p}(d)\ t^{d(1/p-1)/(2\alpha)}\ B^{1/p}(d-\alpha p, (p-1)d+2\alpha p) \le$$

$$C_{M,Y,Z}^{1/p}(d,\alpha)\ \omega^{1/p}(d)\ t^{d(1/p-1)/(2\alpha)}\ B^{1/p}(d-\alpha, 2\alpha),\ p \ge 1; \tag{8.5}$$

$$d-\alpha p > 0, \quad (p-1)d+2\alpha p > 0;$$

$$|Z_t|_{q,T} \le (2\alpha C_{M,Y,Z}(d,\alpha))^{1/q}\ |x|^{2\alpha/q-d}\ B^{1/q}(dq-2\alpha; q(d+2\alpha)-d),\ q \ge 1, \tag{8.6}$$

where $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ is ordinary Beta - function and

$$dq-2\alpha > 0, q(d+2\alpha)-d) > 0,$$

where

$$\omega(d) = \frac{2\ \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}$$

is an area of unit sphere in the Euclidean space R^d.

We used the elementary integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\gamma_1}\ dx}{(1+x)^{\gamma_2}} = B(\gamma_1+1, \gamma_2-\gamma_1-1), \tag{8.7}$$

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 = \mathrm{const},\ \gamma_1 > -1,\ \gamma_2-\gamma_1 > 1.$$

Moreover, let us denote also

$$Z_t^{(\theta,\tau)}(x) = |x|^{-\theta}\ t^{-\tau}\ Z_t(x),\ \theta,\tau = \mathrm{const}\,. \tag{8.8}$$

We have using (8.7) the following weight estimates:

$$|Z_t^{(\theta,\tau)}(\cdot)|_{r,T} \le (2\alpha C_{M,Y,Z}(d+2\alpha\tau),\alpha))^{1/r}\ |x|^{2\alpha/r-d-\theta} \times$$

$$B^{1/q}((d+2\alpha\tau)q-2\alpha, q(d+2\alpha\tau+2\alpha)-d),\ r \ge 1, \tag{8.9}$$

if of course

$$(d+2\alpha\tau)r-2\alpha > 0,\ r(d+2\alpha\tau+2\alpha)-d > 0. \tag{8.10}$$

Analogously

$$|Z_t^{\theta,\tau}(\cdot)|_{p,X} \le C_{M,Y,Z}^{1/p}(d,\alpha)\ \omega^{1/p}(d)\ t^{-\tau+d(1/p-1)/(2\alpha)-\theta/(2\alpha)} \times$$

$$B^{1/p}(d-\alpha p, dp+2\alpha p - d + \theta p), \tag{8.11}$$

under restrictions

$$d - \alpha p > 0,\ dp + 2\alpha p - d + \theta p > 0. \tag{8.12}$$

Denote for brevity

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(r; d, \alpha, \theta, \tau) = -2\alpha/r + d + \theta, \tag{8.13}$$

$$\lambda_1^0 = \lambda_1(r; d, \alpha, \theta, 0), \tag{8.13a}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(p; d, \alpha, \theta, \tau) = \tau - d(1/p-1)/(2\alpha) + \theta/(2\alpha), \tag{8.14}$$

$$\lambda_2^0 = \lambda_2(p; d, \alpha, 0, \tau) = \tau - d(1/p-1)/(2\alpha). \tag{8.14a}$$

Then the estimates (8.9) and (8.11) may be rewritten in more simple form under restrictions (8.10) and (8.12)

$$|Z_t^{(\theta,\tau)}(\cdot)|_{q,T} \le C_q\ |x|^{-\lambda_1}, \quad |Z_t^{(\theta,\tau)}(\cdot)|_{p,X} \le C_p\ t^{-\lambda_2}. \tag{8.15}$$

Remark 8.1. Evidently, the estimates (8.15) are exact up to multiplicative constant on the *whole* real axis (semi-axis):

$$|Z_t^{(\theta,\tau)}(\cdot)|_{q,T} \ge \tilde{C}_q\ |x|^{-\lambda_1}, \quad |Z_t^{(\theta,\tau)}(\cdot)|_{p,X} \ge \tilde{C}_p\ t^{-\lambda_2}. \tag{8.15a}$$

Remark 8.2. More surprisingly is the circumstance that the estimates (8.15) and (8.15a) are true even for the integer values α, for instance for the value $\alpha = 1$, in which $Z_t(x) = w_t(x)$.

This is all the more surprising that the tail behavior as $|x| \to \infty$ of the function $w_1(x)$ strikingly different on the ones for the function $Z_1(x)$.

We note passing to the descriptions of next results first of all that the decay estimates as $t \to \infty$ for solution $u = u(x,t)$ of linear and nonlinear nonlocal heat equations, for more general pseudo-differential equation, of a form

$$|u|_{p,X} \le C\ t^{-[(d/\gamma)\cdot(1/q-1/p)]}\ |f|_{q,X},\ 1 \le q < p,\ \gamma = \mathrm{const} \in (0,\infty),$$

(in our notations), was obtained in recent article [47]; see also reference therein.

Let us consider now the inverse order of the spaces. A new notations and restrictions:

$$v_0^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = v_0(x,t) = u_0^{(\alpha)}(x,t)\ |x|^{-b}\ t^{-\tau},\ f(x) = g(x)\ |x|^{-a},$$

$$a, b, \tau = \mathrm{const} \ge 0, \ \kappa_0 := (a + b + \lambda_1^0)/d \in (0, 1); \tag{8.16}$$

$$p_- = \frac{d}{d-a} \ge 1, \ p_+ = \frac{d}{d-a-\lambda_1^0} > 0. \tag{8.17}$$

$$d + \frac{d}{q} = \frac{d}{p} + (a + b + \lambda_1^0), \ q = q(p). \tag{8.18}$$

Theorem 8.0. *We conclude under conditions (8.10), (8.16), (8.17) and (8.18) for the values* p *from the interval* $p \in (p_-, p_+)$ *and for all the positive values* α, *including the integer numbers, for example* $\alpha = 1$, *in which* $Z_t(x)$ *is the classical solution of the heat equation,*

$$|v_0^{(\alpha)}|_{r,T;q,X} \le \frac{C(d; a, b, \tau, \alpha)}{[(p - p_-) \ (p_+ - p)]^{\kappa_0}} \ |f|_p, \tag{8.19}$$

if, of course, $f \in L_p(R^d)$.

Conversely, the equality (8.18) is necessary for the estimation of the form (8.19). Moreover, the estimation (8.19) is exact up to multiplicative constant.

Proof.

$$v_0^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = |x|^{-b} \ \int_X t^{-\tau} \ Z_t(x-y) \ g(y) \ |y|^{-a} \ dy =$$

$$|x|^{-b} \ \int_X Z_t^{(0,\tau)}(x-y) \ g(y) \ |y|^{-a} \ dy.$$

We have using again Marcinkiewicz integral-triangle inequality:

$$|v_0^{(\alpha)}(x,t)|_{r,T} \le |x|^{-b} \ \int_X |Z_t^{(0,\tau)}(x-y)|_{r,T} \ |g(y)| \ |y|^{-a} \ dy.$$

We use now the estimate (8.15):

$$|v_0^{(\alpha)}(x,t)|_{r,T} \le |x|^{-b} \ \int_X C_p \ \frac{|y|^{-a} \ |g(y)| \ dy}{|x-y|^{\lambda_1^0}}. \tag{8.20}$$

The integrals of a form (8.20) are called weight Hardy-Littlewood operators or equally weight fractional integral operators. The using for us *exact* up to multiplicative constant $L_q(R^d)$ estimates for them are obtained in the article [70].

The necessity of the equality (8.18) for the assertion of considered theorem may be proved as ordinary by means of scaling method.

This completes the proof of theorem (8.1).

We will deduce now he weight estimates for the second term in the representations (8.3a), i.e. for the function $u_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t)$.

Some new notations and restrictions.

The first *weight* function $v_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t)$ for the part of solution $u_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t)$ is defined as follows:

$$v_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t) := |x|^{-b}\, u_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = |x|^{-b} \int_0^t \int_X Z(x-y,t-s)\, |y|^{-a}\, H(y,s)\, ds\, dy, \quad (8.21a)$$

where $H(y,s) = |y|^a \cdot F(y,s)$ is the first weight function for the right - hand side $F = F(x,t)$. Here $a, b = \mathrm{const} \ge 0$.

The second *weight* function $v_2^{(\alpha)}(x,t)$ for the part of solution $u_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t)$ is defined as follows:

$$v_2^{(\alpha)}(x,t) := t^{-\tau}\, u_1^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = t^{-\tau} \int_0^t \int_X Z(x-y,t-s)\, s^{-\beta}\, R(y,s)\, ds\, dy, \quad (8.21b)$$

where $R(y,s) = s^{-\beta} \cdot F(y,s)$ is the second weight function for the right - hand side $F = F(x,t)$. Here $\tau, \beta = \mathrm{const} \ge 0$.

Other notations and restrictions.

$$1 + \frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{1}{Q_1} + \frac{1}{m_1}, \qquad r_1, Q_1, m_1 \in (1,\infty), \quad (8.22a)$$

$$a, b = \mathrm{const} \ge 0, \qquad \kappa_1 = \frac{a+b+d-2\alpha}{d} \in (0,1),$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{a+b+d-2\alpha}{d} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \kappa_1, \qquad p_1, q_1 \in (1,\infty), \quad (8.22b)$$

$$q_1 = q_1(p_1),\ p_-^1 = \frac{d}{d-a} > 1, \qquad p_+^1 = \frac{d}{2\alpha/Q_1 - a} > 0,$$

$$p_1 \in (p_-^1, p_+^1).$$

Theorem 8.1a *We propose under formulated assumptions*

$$|v_1^{(\alpha)}(\cdot,\cdot)|_{r_1,T;q_1,X} \le \frac{C_1(d;a,b)}{[(p_1 - p_-^1)(p_+^1 - p_1)]^{\kappa_1}}\, |H(\cdot,\cdot)|_{m_1,T;p_1,X}. \quad (8.23)$$

Conversely, the estimation (8.23) is exact up to multiplicative constant and the relations (8.22a), (8.22b) are necessary for the inequality of a form (8.23).

Proof. We have from (8.21) using again the Marcinkiewicz integral triangle inequality and Beckner's convolution constants:

$$|v_1^{(\alpha)}(x,\cdot)|_{r_1,T} \le |x|^{-b} \int_X |y|^{-a}\, K_B(1;Q,m)\, |Z(x-y,t-s)|_{Q_1,T}\, |H|_{m_1,T}\, dy =$$

$$C \cdot K_B(1;Q_1,m_1) \cdot \int_X \frac{|x|^{-a}\, |y|^{-b}}{|x-y|^{d-2\alpha/Q_1}}\, |H(y,\cdot)|_{m_1,T}\, dy.$$

It remains to apply the inequality (2.4) for the weight Hardy - Riesz transform.

The exactness of the inequality (8.23) follows immediately from the main result of the report [70] by means of consideration of the factorable function $F(x,t) = F_0(t)\ F_1(x)$.

The necessity of the conditions (8.22a) and (8.22b) for (8.23) may be elementary provided by the known scaling method, where the dilation operator $T_{\lambda,\mu}$ is defined as follows

$$T_{\lambda,\mu}[F_0\ F_1](t,x) \stackrel{def}{=} F_0(\lambda t)\ F_1(\mu x),\ \lambda,\mu \in (0,\infty).$$

Let us consider the inverse order of norms. Notations and restrictions:

$$1 + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{Q_2} + \frac{1}{p_2}, \tag{8.24a}$$

$$0 \le \beta, \tau < 1, \quad \zeta_2 := d(1 - 1/Q_2)/(2\alpha) \in (0,1), \quad \beta + \tau + \zeta_2 < 1,$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{1}{k_2} + (\beta + \tau + \zeta_2),\ r_2 = r_2(k_2), k_2, r_2, q_2, Q_2, p_2 \in (1,\infty), \tag{8.24b}$$

$$\kappa_2 := \beta + \tau + \zeta_2,\ p_-^{(2)} = \frac{1}{1-\beta},\ p_+^{(2)} = \frac{1}{1-\beta-\tau} > 0,$$

$$p_2 \in (p_-^{(2)}, p_+^{(2)}).$$

Theorem 8.1b *We propose under formulated assumptions*

$$|v_2^{(\alpha)}(\cdot,\cdot)|_{q_2,X;r_2,T} \le \frac{C_2 K_B(d;Q_2,p_2)}{(p_2 - p_-^{(2)})^{\kappa_2}}\ |R(\cdot,s)|_{p_2,X;k_2,T}. \tag{8.25}$$

As before, the estimation (8.25) is exact up to multiplicative constant and the relations (8.24a), (8.24b) are necessary for the inequality of a form (8.25).

Proof. Note that

$$v_2^{(\alpha)}(x,t) = t^{-\tau} \int_0^t s^{-\beta}\ ds\ \int_X Z(x-y,t-s)\ R(y,s)\ dy =$$

$$t^{-\tau} \int_0^t s^{-\beta}\ ds \cdot Z_{t-s} * [R](x).$$

Therefore

$$|v_2^{(\alpha)}(x,t)|_{q_2,X} \le t^{-\tau} \int_0^t s^{-\beta}\ ds \cdot |Z_{t-s} * [R](x)|_{q_2,X} \le$$

$$C_2\ t^{-\tau} \int_0^t s^{-\beta}\ ds \cdot K_B(d;Q_2,p_2)\ (t-s)^{-d(1-1/p)/(2\alpha)}\ |R(\cdot,s)|_{p_2,X}.$$

The proposition of theorem 8.1b follows immediately from the main result of an article [71].

9 Concluding remarks.

A. General elliptic operator.

Our results may be easily generalized on the initial value problem for parabolic PDE of a form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0.5 \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i,k}(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x,t)u + F(x,t), \qquad (9.1)$$

$$u(x,0+) = f(x), \qquad x \in R^d, \ t > 0, \qquad (9.2)$$

if for example the functions $a_{i,k}(x,t)$ are symmetrical $a_{i,k}(x,t) = a_{k,i}(x,t)$, uniform positive definite:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i,k}(x,t) \xi_i \xi_k \geq \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{d} \xi_k^2, \qquad \lambda = \text{const} > 0;$$

all the functions $a_{i,k}(x,t), \ b_i(x,t), \ c(x,t)$ are bounded and satisfy the Hölder's conditions with positive power.

It is well-known that under these conditions the solution of problem (9.1)-(9.2) may be written as follows:

$$u(x,t) = \int_{R^d} \int_0^t G(x,t,y,s) \ F(y,s) \ dy \ ds + \int_{R^d} G(x,t,y,0) \ f(y) \ dy,$$

where the function $G(x,t,y,s)$ allows an estimation

$$G(x,t,y,s) \leq C_1 (t-s)^{-d/2} \ \exp\left(-C_2 |x-y|^2/(t-s)\right), \ 0 \leq s < t < \infty.$$

B. Arbitrary convolution kernel.

Our considerations may be easily generalized on the function of a form

$$z(x,t) = \int_X M(x-y,t) \ f(y) \ dy + \int_0^t \int_X M(x-y,t-s) \ F(y,s) \ ds \ dy,$$

where the kernel function $M(x,t)$ has the form

$$M(x,t) = t^{-a} M_1(|x|/t^b), \ M_1(\cdot) \in L_p(R^d).$$

References

[1] Adams R.A. *Anisotropic Sobolev Inequalities.* Casopic pro Pestovani Matematiky, (Prague), No. 3, 267279.

[2] Beckner W. *Inequalities in Fourier Analysis.* Ann. of Math.; **102,** (1975), 159 - 182.

[3] Shangbin Cui. *Global well-posedness of the 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes initial value problem in $L(p) \cap L(2)$ with $3 < p < \infty$.* arXiv:1204.5040v1 [math.AP] 23 Apr 2012

[4] Chae Dongho and Lee Jihoon. *On the blow-up criterion and small data global existence for the Hall-magnetohydrodynamics.* arXiv:1305.4681v1 [math.AP] 21 May 2013

[5] Barraza O. *Self-similar solutions in weak L_p- spaces of the Navier-Stokes equations.* Revista Mat. Iberoamer., 12(1996), 411 439.

[6] Caffarelli L., Kohn R. and Nirenberg L. *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **35,** (1982), 771 - 831.

[7] Calderon C. *Existence of weak solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations with initial data in $L(p)$.* Trans. A.M.S., 318(1990), 179 207.

[8] Cannone M. *A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations.* Revista Matematica Iberoamericana. V. 13 **3,** (1997), 515 - 542.

[9] Escauriaza L., Seregin G., and Sverak V. *$L(3,\infty)$ -Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations and Backward Uniqueness.* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 58(2003), no.2, 3 44.

[10] Fabes E., Johns B. and Riviere N. *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in $L(p)$.* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 45(1972), 222 240.

[11] Foias C., Guillope C. and Temam R. *New a priory estimates for Navier-Stokes equations in dimension 3.* Comm. in Part. Dif. Eq., **6,** (1981), 329 - 359.

[12] Foias C., Manley O., Rosa R. and Temam R. *Navier - Stokes Equations and Turbulence.* Cambridge, University Press, (2001)

[13] Fujita H. and Kato T. *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **16**, (1964), 269 315.

[14] Germain P. *Multipliers, paramultipliers, and weak-strong uniqueness for the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Diff. Equations., 226(2006), 373 428.

[15] Giga Y. *Solutions of semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system.* J. Diff. Equations, 62(1986), 186 212.

[16] Giga Y. and Miyakawa T. *Navier-Stokes flows in R^3 with measurea as initial vorticity and the Morrey spaces.* Comm. P. D. E., 14(1989), 577 618.

[17] Giga Y. and Sohr H. *Abstract $L^p -$ estimates for the Cauchy problem with Applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exteroir domains.* Hokkaido University, Preprint, Series 60 on Mathematics, November 1989.

[18] Giga Y. and Sohr H. *Abstract $L^p -$ estimates for the Cauchy problem with Applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exteroir domains.* J. Funk. Anal., **102** (1991), 72 - 94.

[19] Farhad Hatami, Mohammad Bagher Ghaemi. *On the global existence solution for a chemotaxis model.* Applied Mathematics, (2013), **78**, 134 - 143.

[20] Iwashita H. *$L^q - L^r$ estimates for solution of non-stationary Stokes equations in exterior domain and the Navier-Skokes initial value problems in L_q spaces.* Math. Ann., **285**, (1989), 265 - 288.

[21] Kato T. *Strong L_p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in R^m with applications to weak solutions.* Math. Z., 187(1984), 471 480.

[22] Kato T. and Ponce G. *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations.* Comm. P. D. E., 41(1988), 891 - 907.

[23] Kenig C.E. and Koch G.S. *An alternative approach to regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space.* arXiv:0908.3349.

[24] Koch H. and Tataru D. *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations.* Adv. in Math., 157(2001), 22 35.

[25] Kozono H. and Taniuchi Y. *Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier-Stokes equations.* Math. Z., 235(2000), 173 194.

[26] Lemarie-Rieusset P.G. *Weak infinite-energy solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations in R^3,* Preprint, 1998.

[27] Lemarie-Rieusset P.G. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problems.* Research Notes in Mathematics, Chapman, Hall/CRC, 2002.

[28] Leray J. *Sur le mouvement dun liquide visqueux emplissant lespace.* Acta Math., 63(1934), 193 248.

[29] Masuda K. *Weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations.* Tohoku Math. J., 36(1984), 623 646.

[30] Changxing Miao, Baoquan Yuan, and Bo Zhang. *Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the fractional power dissipative equations.* arXiv:math/0607456v2 [math.AP] 16 Aug 2006

[31] Changxing Miao, Baoquan Yuan, and Bo Zhang. *Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the fractional power dissipative equations.* Nonlinear Anal. TMA 68 (2008) 461-484.

[32] Montgomery-Smith S. *Finite-time blow up for a Navier-Stokes like equation.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129, (2001,) pages 3025 - 3029.

[33] Miura H. *Remarks on uniqueness of mild solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Funct. Anal., 218(2005), 110129.

[34] Ogawa T., Rajopadhye Sh. V. and Schonbek M.E. *Energy Decay for a Weak Solution of the Navier-Stokes Equation with Slowly Varying External Forces.* Journal of Functional Analysis, **144,** (1997), 325 - 358.

[35] Planchon F. *Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the imcompressible Navier-Stokes equations in R^3*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire, 13(1996), 319 336.

[36] Seregin G. *A certain necessary condition of potential blow up for Navier-Stokes equations.* arXiv:1104.3615. 21 Aug 2010.

[37] Seregin, G. (2011) *Necessary conditions of potential blowup for the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 178, (2011), 345 - 352.

[38] Serrin J. *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations.* In: R.E. Langer, (Ed.), Nonlinear Problems, 1963, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1963, pp. 69 98.

[39] Solonnikov V.A. *Estimates for Solutions of non-stationaty Navier - Stokes equations.* J. Soviet Math., **8**, (1977), 467 - 523.

[40] E.M.Stein. *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1992).

[41] Taylor M.E. *Partial Differential Equations I. Linear Equations.* Applied Math. Sciencies, **117,** Springer, (1996).

[42] Taylor M.E. *Partial Differential Equations III. Non-linear Rquations.* Applied Math. Sciencies, **117,** Springer, (1996).

[43] Temam R. *Navier - Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis.* North-Holland Publishing Company. Amsterdam, New York,Oxford, (1977).

[44] Vishik M.I. *Hydrodynamics in Besov spaces.* Arch. ration. Mech. Anal., 145, 197-214 (1998)

[45] Zhichun Zhai. *Strichartz type estimates for fractional heat equations.* arXiv:0904.3259v1 [math.AP] 21 Apr 2009

[46] Zeng Zhang, Zhaoyang Yin *Global Well-posedness for the Generalized Navier-Stokes System.* arXiv:1306.3735v1 [math.AP] 17 Jun 2013

[47] Brändle C., Arturo de Pablo. *Decay estimates for linear and nonlinear nonlocal heat equations.* arXiv:1312.4661v1 [math.AP] 17 Dec 2013

[48] Benedek A., Calderon A.P., and Panzone R. *Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, Vol. 48 (1962), 356-365.

[49] Benedek A. and Panzone R. *The space L_p with mixed norm.* Duke Math. J., 28, (1961), 301 - 324.

[50] Besov O.V., Ilin V.P., Nikolskii S.M. *Integral representation of functions and imbedding theorems.* Vol.1; Scripta Series in Math., V.H.Winston and Sons, (1979), New York, Toronto, Ontario, London.

[51] Brascamp H.J. and E.H. Lieb E.H. *Best constants in Youngs inequality, its converse and its generalization to more than three functions.* Journ. Funct. Anal., 20(1976), 151 173.

[52] Fiorenza A., and Karadzhov G.E. *Grand and small Lebesgue spaces and their analogs.* Consiglio Nationale Delle Ricerche, Instituto per le Applicazioni del Calcoto Mauro Picone, Sezione di Napoli, Rapporto tecnico n. 272/03, (2005).

[53] Fiorenza A. *Duality and reflexivity in grand Lebesgue spaces.* Collect. Math. **51**(2000), 131 - 148.

[54] Iwaniec T. and Sbordone C. *On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses.* Arch. Rat.Mech. Anal., **119**(1992), 129 - 143.

[55] Iwaniec T., Koskela P. and Onninen J. *Mapping of Finite Distortion: Monotonicity and Continuity.* Invent. Math. **144**(2001), 507 - 531.

[56] Jawerth B. and Milman M. *Extrapolation theory with applications.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **440**, (1991).

[57] Nursultanov E., Tikhonov S. *Weighted norm inequalities for convolution and Riesz potential.* Centre de recerca Matematica, Preprint num. 1163, July 2013

[58] G.Talenti. *Inequalities in Rearrangement Invariant Function Spaces. Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications.* Prometheus, Prague, **5**, (1995), 177 - 230.

[59] Kozachenko Yu. V., Ostrovsky E.I. (1985). *The Banach Spaces of random Variables of subgaussian type.* Theory of Probab. and Math. Stat. (in Russian). Kiev, KSU, **32**, 43 - 57.

[60] Krylov N.V. *Weighted Sobolev spaces and Laplace equations and the heat equations in a half space.* Comm. in PDEs, Vol. 23, No. 9-10 (1999), 1611 - 1653.

[61] Krylov N.V. *The heat equation in $L_q((0,T);L_p)$ − spaces with weight.* SIAM J. on Math. Anal., Vol. 32, No. 5 (2001), 1117-1141.

[62] Krylov N.V. *A parabolic Littlewood-Paley inequality with applications to parabolic equations. Topological Methodsn Nonlinear Analysis.* Journal of the Juliusz Schauder Center, Vol. 4, No. 2, (1994), 355364.

[63] Kyeong-Hun Kim. *$L_q(L_p)$ − Theory of Parabolic PDE with variable Coefficients.* Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 45 (2008), No. 1, pp. 169 - 190.

[64] Ladyzhenskaya O.A., Solonnikov V.A., and Ural'tceva N.N. *Linear and quasi-linear parabolic equations.* Nauka, Moscow, 1967 in Russian; English translation: American Math. Soc., Providence, 1968.

[65] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A. *Example of non-uniqueness in the Hopf class of weak solutions for the navier-Stokes equations.* Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom 33 (1969), No. 1, pp. 229236.

[66] Liflyand E., Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Structural Properties of Bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces.* Turk. J. Math.; **34** (2010), 207 - 219.

[67] Ostrovsky E.I. (1999). *Exponential estimations for random Fields and its applications (in Russian).* Moscow - Obninsk, OINPE.

[68] Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. *Moment Banach spaces: theory and applications.* HIAT Journal of Science and Engineering, **C**, Volume 4, Issues 1 - 2, pp. 233 - 262, (2007).

[69] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Solvability of Navier-Stokes equations in some rearrangement invariant spaces.* arXiv:1305.5321v1 [math.AP] 23 May 2013

[70] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Weight Hardy-Littlewood inequalities for different powers.* arXiv:0910.5880v1 [math.FA] 30 Oct 2009

[71] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Cesaro-Hardy operators on bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces.* arXiv:1307.5481v1 [math.FA] 20 Jul 2013

[72] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Multiple weight Riesz and Fourier transforms in bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces.* arXiv:1208.2392v1 [math.FA] 12 Aug 2012

[73] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Quantitative lower bound for lifespan for solution of Navier-Stokes equations.* arXiv:1306.6211v1 [math.AP] 26 Jun 2013

[74] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Solvability of Navier-Stokes equations in some rearrangement invariant spaces.* arXiv:1305.5321v1 [math.AP] 23 May 2013

[75] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Boundedness of operators in bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces, with exact and weakly exact constant calculation.* arXiv:1104.2963v1 [math.FA] 15 Apr 2011

[76] Fang K. - T., Kotz S., and Ng. K.W. (1990) *Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions.* Chapman and Hall, London.

[77] Kerman R.A. *Convolution Theorems with Weight.* Trans. AMS, 280, (1983), 207 - 219.

[78] Lacey M., Moen K., Perez C. *Sharp weighted bounds for fractional integral operators.* J. Funct. Anal., **259,** (2010), N^o5, 1073 - 1097.

[79] Stepanov V.D. *Two-weight estimates for RiemannLiouville integrals.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 54:3 (1990), 645 656; transl.: Math. USSR-Izv., 36:3 (1991), 669681.

[80] Uchaikin V.V., Zolotarev V.M. *Chance and Stability. Stable Distributions and their Applications.* C; VSP, (1999)

[81] Vasil'eva A.A. *Widths of weighted Sobolev classes on a domain with a peak: some limiting cases.* arXiv:1312.0081v1 [math.FA] 30 Nov 2013